THE COURTS

Termination of a Most Remarkable Will Contest

STARTLING CONSPIRACY UNEARTHED.

Marrying at Bay, and Then Seeking to Loosen the Matrimonial Bonds.

THE LAW GOVERNING THE COPYRIGHTING OF PLAYS.

The Barmore will case, some remarkable developments in which were recently made public, has now ap-arently reached a conclusion. On the 13th of May last lifted Barmore, supposed to be worth millions, and for many-years President of the Knickerbocker Ice Com-pany, died in this city, leaving a will dated January 4, 1874, giving to his daughter, Emma Eldridge, wife of Titus B. Eldridge, a lawyer in this city, the interest of 20,000 during her life, and devising and bequeathing ne remainder of his estate equally among his children, filliam H. Barmore, his son; his daughter, Juliette hindler, wife of James Shindler; Margaret McCul-ough, wife of Robert McCullough, and Georgiana Macerbocker Ice Company, and appointing Moses B. Maclay and William H. Barmore his executors. This will being and William H. Barmore his executors. This will being offered for probate, Mrs. Eldriage opposed it, and being aided by her husband and such eminent counsel as John K. Porter, Clarence A. Seward and Homer A. Nelson, after a long and bitter contest Sirrogate Hutchings deemed it his duty to reject it, upon the ground that it had been procured from Mr. Barmore by unfue influence. During the littigation before the Surrogate it appeared that Mr. Barmore had made a trust deed containing substantially the same provisions as the will, and Mrs. Eldridge filed a bill in equity to set that aside upon the ground that it had been obtained by fraud and undue influence. Mosce B. Maclay, during the littigation, had been ap-

a bill in equity to set that aside upon the ground that it had been obtained by fraud and undue influence. Moses B. Maclay, during the litigation, had been appointed special administrator of the estate, and, after the will had been rejected, Mr. Eldridge moved, in Supreme Court, to have him removed upon the strength of the Surrogate's decree and opinion, which, Mrs. Eldridge claimed, showed he was a party to the fraud lound by the Surrogate in procuring the will. Mr. Moses B. Maclay's counsel claimed that the motion should be made in the Surrogate's Court, and Mrs. Eldridge's counsel, adopting this view, made a motion to remove Mr. Maclay, which came on to be heard in March last before the late Surrogate Van Schaick.

In the meantime Mr. Moses B. Maclay and the heirs of Barmore had not been side. They had obtained clear and conclusive evidence that the decree rejecting the will was procured by fraud, perjury and subornation of perjury, as already published, to the consternation of Eldridge and his counsel when it was produced in open court. The Surrogate upon this evidence called spon Mrs. Eldridge and her counsel to show cause on the 29th of March why the decree rejecting the will should not be set aside, and why the false and fraudulent evidence should not be stricken from the case.

Because of the illness and death of the late Surrogate the hearing was adjobrated until Tuesday, when Mrs. Eldridge and her counsel and Titus B. Eldridge, being unable to answer and meet the proof of fraud and perjury, her default was taken, although her counsel when the surrogate and meet the proof of fraud and perjury, and the will was set aside on the ground that it was obtained by fraud, perjury and subornation of perjury, and the will was set aside on the

alt has been brought by Ludwig Trieste to declare performed by Justice Otterbourg at reason and the performed by Justice Daly in the Special Term of the Common Pleas Court. Mr. Weble, plaintiff's counsel, after stating the facts, as published at the time in the Herald, asked for a dissolution of the marriage on three grounds—First, That it was no marriage; second, that it was entered into upon false representations; and third, that plaintiff was compelled to marry under duress. The first witness called was the plaintiff. He said be formed the defendant's acquaintance at Cologne, several months ago, and they agreed to meet at Hamburg, a watering place. There he proposed a trip through Germany and Switzerland, to which she consented While they were hiving together he received letters from his father requesting him to give the girl up, but instead of doing so he brought her to this country. After they had been a short time here he received a sharp and peremptory letter from his father requiring him to abandon the girl or all expectations from his father. This letter was shown to Julie, who became very angry, and went away from their lodgings, but returned the same day. A few days afterwards she left him, and he immediately after received a letter from the German Consultelling him that he must marry the girl, which was followed by his arrest on a charge of disorderly conduct, the girl accusing him of dragging her around and threatening to kill her, upon which charge he was taken before Judge Otterbourg. At courts friend urged him strongly to marry the girl, representing that it was a matter of form only, to save the girl's reputation, and that he could be divorced in fourteen days, and the Judge also joined in strongly advising him to consent, and he limily yielded. At this stage the court adjourned. The defendant was in court, with three lady friends. She is about twenty-dive years old, pale and ladylike in appearance, and was dressed in a dark brown suit, black hat and feather and white veil. The plantiff is a tolerably good-looking young fellow, fashionably dressed and told his story in a very free and ollhand manner. The answer is that the detendant was seduced under promise of marriage.

PLAY WRITERS' "INDISCRETION." In the United States Circuit Court Judge Johnson yesterday filed an opinion denying the motion made by Isane Carrillo and Charles Rabello against Messra, Sheridan Shook and Albert M. Palmer, of the Union Square Theatre, for an injunction to restrain them from continuing to perform at the theatre the play of "Ferreel." The plaintiffs, through A. S. Sullivan, "Ferreol." The plaintiffs, through A. S. Sullivan, their counsel, claimed that "Ferreol" was an infringement upon the copyright of the play "Indiscretion," "which they assert was written by there" "A November, 1875. The defendants, through their counsel, exJudge A. J. Dittenheefer, argued first that plaintiffs' copyright was delective in that of filing a copy of the title page of "Indiscretion" they had neglected to published play in the office of the Librarian of Congress, urging that if this was not obligatory all that a party had to do was to file some title and then wait for some successful play and claim the authorship of such play under the title filed, and there would be no evidence on the to disprove the claim. The defendants' counsel cited the decision of Judge Hunt in Boueleault vs. Hart, bolong allirmatively on this point. It was firther claimed by defendants that "Indiscretion," as described in the complaint was simply a rehash of the novel called "L'Assassin du Beil Antonie," published in Paris in September, 1873, and translated in the Spiril of the Times in this city. Judge Johnson, in denying the motion for the injunction, stated that the decision of Judge Hunt cited by Judge Dittenhoefer must be followed as the law of this Greuit.

SUMMARY OF LAW CASES.

In the suit by Captain Samuels against the New York Seening Mail, for alleged libel, tried before Judge Larremore, in Supreme Court, Circuit, a verdict for \$2,000 was given yesterday for the plaintiff.

was given yesterday for the plantin.

Judge Dyckman, holding Supreme Court, Circuit, directed yesterday a verdict for \$1,000 for Dan Mace in the suit against Gardner S. Sage, Jr., brought to recover on notes given as part payment for the trotting

Judge Barrett yesterday appointed Philo T. Ruggles referee in the suit of John Jacob Astor against Mary

referee in the suit of John Jacob Astor against Mary Ann Lawrence and others, brought to foreclose a mortgage, and George W. Delancy in a similar suit brought against Almira McClane and others.

In the trial before Judge Van Brunt of the suit of Anthony Richard against Mary C. Adolphi, administratrix, a verdict was given yesterday for \$1,663 for the plaintiff, being the full amount claumed and five percent allowance. Measure Baker & Russell appeared for the plaintiff and Messrs, Baker & Russell appeared for the plaintiff and Messrs, Franciota, Tilney & Mosher for ane defendants.

SUPREME COURT-CHAMBERS.

By Judge Barrett.

Matter of Francis B. Greene to vacate assessment.—I think the petitioners have made out a just case for equitable relief. The lacts are clearly stated and are not denied, and it would be hardship in so plain a matter to drive them to a test proceeding. Motion granted.

Phinney va Southworth.—Motion deried, without costs and without prejudice to any other application which the plaintiff may be advised to make, and also without prejudice to a renewal at the proper time. Simpson vs. Andrews.—It is quite clear that the items of \$15 after notice and \$20 for trial of issue of law were improperly allowed, and I would reverse the taxations with costs to the defendant of this motion; but that no objection seems to have been taken before the Clerk I will, however, upon the demand of other relief and the prayer for a readjustment, direct the latter. A readjustment before the Clerk is accordingly ordered, without costs of this motion.

Hubbard vs. Sweet, Jr.—Commission granted with a stay.

endant motion denied, otherwise granted.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

BUPLEME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

By Judge Van Vorst.

Blunt vs. The Mayor, &c.—Judgment for plaintiff on the demurrer, with leave to the deiendant to answer on terms.

Thomson vs. Thomson.—Order signed.
Robinson et al. vs. Beard and another.—Judgment for plaintiff.

Lockwood vs. Bishop.—Judgment for plaintiff. Opin-

Kimball vs. Newton et al.—See decision. By Judge Van Brunt. Losee vs. Matthewa.—Findings signod. SUPERIOR COURT-SPECIAL TERM.

costs to plaintiff.

The Fire Association of Philadelphia vs. Cheppa et al.—I think there should be a reference in this case.
The complaint prays for an accounting, and upon a careful examination of the answer I am unable to see how the case can be properly disposed of without ac-

counting on both sides.

Bragne vs. Lord et al.—The plaintiff is entitled to
\$10 costs on the appeal from the order made on the
Judge's minutes only.

May vs. Crofutt.—I do not think this a case entitling
the defendants to an allowance Motion denied.

Fowle vs. Remsen et al.—Motion for an allowance denied.

Fowle vs. Remsen et al.—The papers do not make a case for an arcest. Motion for an order of arrest denied.

Funke et al. vs. The New York Mutual Insurance Company.—I do not find any authority for taxing the items in this case rejected by the clerk. Motion to retax denied.

The Antartic Insurance Company vs. Hubbell.—The motion to compel a reply denied and the motion to place the case on the short calendar granted.

Rust vs. Hauselt et al.—Case and exceptions settled and ordered on file.

Rust vs. Hausell et al.—Case and exceptions service and ordered on file.

Jacobson et al. vs. The Charter Oak Life Insurance Company.—Order to show cause discharged and temporary injunction vacated, with \$10 costs to defendant.

Fazer et al. vs. The New York and Harlem Rairoad Company.—Motion denied.

Plunkett vs. Appleton et al.—Order staying plaintiff's proceedings pending appeal to Court of Appeara.

Struppman et al. vs. Muller et al.—Order appointing J. C. Jaius Languein receiver, &c.

By Judge Curts.

Tilman vs. Alemen et al.—Reference ordered.

By Judge Sanford.

Holmes vs. Holmes.—I decline to settle the within proposed case for the reasons stated in memorandum.

By Judge McAdam.
Geissberg vs. Lowenthal.—Motion granted, as per independent on papers.
Hollock vs. Holly.—Motion denied.
Gross vs. Cuthlerkton.—Motion granted, as per order signed.
McAndrews vs. Marsh.—W. G. Peckham, Esq., appointed receiver.

Tarrant & Co. vs.Crane —A Barton Hough appointed ecciver.
Hollop vs. Estelle; Callery vs. Hopkins; Drying vs. Falk; Handman vs. The New York Gasucht and Heat Company.—Motions to advance causes granted.
Bureel vs. Mason.—Order entered.
Rambo vs. Baxter.—Order signed.
Heiss vs. Fitzpatrick.—Order entered.

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS. A FORGER ON TRIAL.

Henry C. Cole, alias "Big Harry," was placed on trial resterday under an indictment charging him with orging a \$500 Allentown (Pa.) school bond. He, in yesterday under an indictment charging him with forging a \$500 Alloutown (Pa.) school bond. He, in company with one Werner Benning, alias Frederick Sneuecker, sold to William Muir, of No. 115 Broadway, on November 8, 1871, \$10,000 of the bonds mentioned. They were found to be forgeries and the accused were indicted in May, 1872. Benning was arrested and agreed to turn State's evidence, and, pleading guilty, judgment was suspended and he was released. Cole was arrested some time after, when it was found that Benning had in the menatime been concerned in a fraudulent transaction in Jersey City and had been sent to the Trenton Prison for three years. It was believed that without his testimony Cole could not be convicted, so the authorities released the latter on bail and the bonds were subsequently discharged. Meanwhile some one to whom the bands had been sold by the broker began a civil suit in Brooklyin to recover their value. The sait proved successful, and as the question involved was precisely the one involved in the indictment found in this county, the authorities rearrested Cole last December in Philadelphia, whither he had removed, and threw him into the Tombs, where he has since Jain.

Assistant District Atiorney Beil yesterday placed upon the stand Mr. Runk, President of the Board by which the bonds were Issued, and he swore the documents were torgeries. Mr. Muir testified to the bonds being sold to him; but he was not absolutely sure that Cole was one of the men who negotiated them. The case is still on and the trial promises to occupy several days.

WASHINGTON PLACE POLICE COURT.

Clyde and various officers of the Clyde steamship of the company, directing that he be allowed permission to amine the accounts of the company. Judge Barrett the arrest of alleged gamblers at No. 616 Broadway, the saving that he company. Judge Barrett the arrest of alleged gamblers at No. 616 Broadway. The warrant was executed by Sergeant Berghold and Omcers Fieming, Grassick and Andre, of the court in its layer, appellants maintaining that the Discussion of the Court in its layer, appellants maintaining that the Circuit Judge was right and the appears. The warrant was executed by Sergeant Berghold and Omcers Fieming, Grassick and Andre, of the court

On the 3d of February, Ellen King, of No. 265 West Fwenty-third street, handed a gold watch to an ac-Twenty-third street, handed a gold watch to an acquaintance named Luke Rellly, of No. 23 West Twenty-fifth street, the latter stating that he wanted to wear it until Sunday. Luke lailed to return the watch and yesterday he was arrested on a charge of lerceby. Counsellor B. Steinhardt claimed that the case was simply one of breach of trust; but Judge Morgan decided to hold the prisoner in \$1.000 bail for grand larceny. The counsel then served a writ of certiorari, and the matter will be brought up before Judge Barrett to-day.

FIFTY-SEVENTH STREET COURT.

MRS. KLEY HELD FOR TRIAL.

In this case a decision was given yeaterday by the Court. The defendant, Annie C. Kley, was charged by Mrs. Geyer, who keeps a boarding house in Lexington avenue, with obtaining board and lodging from her under false representation. She said she was in receipt of a monthly allowance of \$100 a month from her husband, who was a clerk in the Treasury Department at Washington, and also that she expected soon to come into possession of over \$3,000 which she had won in a lawsuit. She was held for trial. In reference to the other charge against the accused, of obtaining coal from Extra M. Stratton by assuming another lady's name, she was also held for trial. On each complaint bail was fixed at \$1,000. MRS. KLEY HELD FOR TRIAL.

went home intoxicated on Tuesday night and committed an unprovoked and brutal assault upon his poor old mother-in-law, whom he beat with his shoe and kicked in the body. She is now in Bellevue Hospital, and he was held at this court to await the result of her in-

him, and that he found the horse in the possession of Hugh Torpay, of No. 132 Second street and Seventh avenue. The latter was in court under arrest on suspicion of being the thief, but he projected his inno-cence so strenuously, that he was remanded for further

1501, 1503.
SUPERIOR COURT—SPECIAL TERM—Heig by Judge Speir.—Nos. 38, 1, 28, 36.
SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM—Part 1—Held by Chief Justice Monell.—Nos. 627, 879, 2080, 787, 283, 823, 885, 1041, 1042, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049.
Part 2—Held by Judge Sedgwick.—Nos. 1847, 1005, 674, 1634, 297,1028, 949, 869, 856, 889, 788, 712, 650, 950, 1023. COURT OF COMMON PLEAS—EQUITY TREE—Held by Judge C. P. Daly.—Nos. 10, 33

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS—EQUITY TREE—Held by Judge C. P. Daly.—Nos. 19, 33.

COMMON PLEAS—TRIAL TREE—Part 1—Held by Judge Van Hoesen.—Nos. 1098, 1618, 1534, 1539, 1540, 2071, 1693, 2122, 1784, 1528, 1755, 1613, 2328, 2074, 1522/4, 1131, 1297, 1038, 2114, 1811, 1751/2, 1299, 056/2, 1004/2, 1589, 704, 2079, 2447, 1667, 1097, 51, 1706. Part 2—Held by Judge Van Brunt.—Nos. 1454, 2450, 219, 1676, 997, 1802, 1804, 1478, 1810, 1812, 1815, 1825, 1827, 2059, 1834, 1836, 2285, 1852, 1858, 1857, 1863, 1864, 1865, 2407, 1856, 1013, 1924, 1927. Part 3—Held by Judge J. F. Daly.—Nos. 1808, 1806, 2050, 1654, 1405, 1846, 1899, 1000, 1902, 1004, 1638, 1822, 2094, 1088, 2044, 2102, 2103, 1993, 1995, 1995, 2055, 1696, 2445.

MARINE COURT—TRIAL TREE—Part 1.

1996, 2005, 1696, 2445.

Marine Court—Trial Trial—Part 1—Heid by Judge
Alker.—Nos. 3308, 6704, 4008, 3110, 4013, 4661, 4664,
4665, 4067, 4069, 4070, 4071, 4072, 4077, 4079. Part 2—
Held by Judge Sheridan.—Nos. 6909, 2789, 3587, 2810,
6120, 5489, 5341, 7258, 5354, 6779, 569, 5271, 4059, 4061,
4062. Part 3—Held by Judge Shen.—Nos. 1592, 6792,
6784, 624, 4056, 7296, 6636, 6092, 7416, 6518, 7336, 6871, COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS—Held by Judge Sutherland.—Case on. The People vs. Heary Cole,

A very important question affecting the limility of the president of a club for the debts incurred by the organization was decided yesterday by the Brooklyn City Court, General Term, Judges McCue and Reynolds presiding. The case was one in which Mr. John G. Cuyier, Chief Engineer of Prospect Park, who was president of the defunct, but once flourishing literary organization known as the Faust Club, was sued by William Siegur for a balance due on a wine and liquor bill in 1874. A promissory note was made by the president of the Faust Club, but as it was not paid it went to protest. Action to recover was brought in the City Court before Judge Nelson, who directed a verdict for the plaintiff, and directed that the exceptions taken on the trial be heard before the General Term. In rendering their decision the Judges of the General Term hold that the main question presented for their consideration was whether the defendant as president of the club was personally hable far the debts convented by

AN ORGANIST SUES A PRIEST. This forenoon, in the Brooklyn City Court, the case of William Bachmeir against Rev. John J. Raber, Hamburg avenue, Eastern District, will be called for trial. The plaintiff, who is a music teacher and was trial. The plaintiff, who is a music teacher and was formerly organist in the church named, sued to recover damages in the sum of \$20,000 for alleged slander. He claims that the pastor, who is a son of Charity Commissioner Raber, of Kinga county, circulated stories charging him with improper intimacies with female members of the choir. The matter became a subject of correspondence between Father Raber and Bishop Laughlin, and the latter prelate is cited to produce all correspondence in court. The case promises to be one of much interest.

COURT OF APPEALS.

ALBANY, April 19, 1876.
In the Court of Appeals, Wednesday, April 19, 1876.
No. 152. McGriii vs. The Lake Shore, &c., Railroad. Submitted.

No. 111. Marston vs. Swett.—Argued by James Langing, for appellant; Esek Cowen, for respondent.

No. 164. Claffin vs. Lenheine.—Argued by Aaron J. anderpoet, for appellant; submitted for respondent.

No. 46. Major vs. Mayor, &c., of New York.—Passed.

No. 100. Voorhees vs. Olmstead.—Argued by William L., Beach, for appellant, and by Joseph H. Choate, for eppondent; case still on.

spondent; case and Adourned.

Adourned.

The calendar for Thursday, April 20, 1876, is as folwa:—Nos. 81, 82, 83, 102, 160, 150, 97 and 167.

SUPREME COURT CALENDAR.

ROCHESTER, N. Y., April 19, 1876.
The following is the day calendar for the Supreme Court, General Term. on Thursday, April 20, 1876.—
Nos. 2, 140, 141, 73, 183, 13, 2054, 188, 191, 198, 200, 217.

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.

WASHINGTON, D. C., April 18, 1876.

In the United States Supreme Court to-day the following cases were heard:—No. 195. Magee & Hall vs. Manhattan Life Insurance Company—Error to the Circuit for the District of Alabama.—This was an action on a bond on which the plaintiffs in error were surties, given for the faithful performance of the duties of an agent of the insurance company by one Verhees in Mobile, Ala. The defence was that before the execution of a bond Vorhees was largely indebted to the company, and that the bond was required as a condition of his being retained as agent; that the company had exacted of the agent an agreement that he would turn over all his future commissions, to be applied to the payment of his past indevictness, well knowing that he would have to retain sufficient of their money to give upon in such a case, as he had no other means of subsistence; that the fact was fraudulently concealed from the surctices, and that if they had known or it they would not have signed the bond. The Court sustained denurrer to this pies, and the judgment on denurrer is assigned as error here, the plaintiffs in error contending that the demurrer should have been overruled, so that the question of fraud could have been overruled, so that the question of fraud could have been overruled, so that the question of the surctices in possession of all the facts in this case, both in morals and law, and that their not doing so vittated the bond. This doctrine, it is asserted, is held by all commentators. Carlisio & McPherson, for defendant; P. Philips, for plaintifs in error.

No. 211. Robert et al. vs. Propeller Galeta—Appeal

Gerland, of Baltimore, Md., was admisted to practice as an attorney and counsellor of this Court.

No. 213. The New York Life Insurance Company, plaintiff in error, vs. Henricuta Hendren.—This cause was argued by Mr. E. O. Hinckley, of counsel for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. Albert Ritchie for the defendant in error.

No. 215. Joseph Reckendorfer, appellant, vs. Iderlardt Faler.—The argument of this cause was commenced by Mr. Edmund Wetmore, of counsel for appellant.

pellant.
Adjourned until to-morrow at twelve o'clock.

IMPORTANT LEGAL DECISION.

The case of Wilhelmina Weick, charged with the murder of her stepson some time since, was called this-morning in the Supreme Court Judge Headers on pre dekadant's attorney, Mr. Josiah Gook, who moved to quash the entire panel of jurors on the ground that the jury were not properly empanelled; that it was not a jury drawn from the body of the county of Erie as prescribed by the charter; that the town of Hamburg was not represented in the jury box from which the jury for this term of the court was drawn. The matter was argued at length, and Mr. Cook's motion, as far as Mrs. Weick's case is concerned, was granted, and the prisoner remanded to jail.

How much this decision will affect several important trails which have been held and are on the calendar, including the case of George D. Lord, charged with defrauding the State on a canal contract, is a matter of considerable discussion among the lawyers of this city.

and resonance in the legs that the prior is all the prior of the company of the c

Canidwell.

3d av., s. e. corner 102d st., 50.5x110; also 102d st., s., 169 tt. e. of 3d av., 150x100.11; Caroline C. Bishop and husband to same
3d av., s. s., 50.5 tt. s. of 102d st., 50.0x110; also 102d st., 110 ft. e. of 3d av., 50x100.11; William A. Canidwell and others, executors, to Caroline C. Bishop.
3d av., c. s., 50.5 ft. s. of 102d st., 50.0x110; also 102d st., 110 ft. e. of 3d av., 50x100.11; William A. Canidwell and wills to same

A. Cauldwell and wile to same
7th st., n. s., 255 ft. e. of lith sv., 75x1(71.84; also
87th st., n. s., 250 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.84; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 75x1(01.85; also
87th st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 0th sv., 100 ft. w. o

84th st., s., 305 ft. w of 8th av., 20x102 2; same to William A. Canidwell. Sth st., same property: Parallel William A. Cauldwell.
th st. anne property; Caroline C. Bishop and husband to same.
a. No. 77, also Strong st. No. 44; William A. Cauldwell and other executor to C. E. ari st., n. a., No. 77, same property; same to Car-

Bishop.
Pearl st., n. s., No. 77, same property; same to Carolin: C. Bishop.
Seth st., s. s., 375 ft. w. of 9th av., 25x100.5; same
to William A. Cauldwell.
Seth st., s., same property; Caroline E. Bishop and
husband to same.
Seth st., s., 490 ft. w. of 9th av., 25x109.5; William
A. Cauldwell and others, executors, to same.
Sth st., s., s., 257 ft. w. of 10th av., 75x59.11; also
10th av., w. s., 50 ft. n. of 147th st., 40.11x100;
William A. Cauldwell.
147th st., n. s., 125 ft. w. of 10th av., 75x59.11; also
10th av., w. s., 50 ft. n. of 147th st., 40.11x100;
William A. Cauldwell.
147th st. n. s., 125 ft. w. of 10th av., 75x59.11; also
10th av., w. s., 50 ft. n. of 147th st., 40.11x100;
Caroline C. Bishop and husband to William A.
Cauldwell.
10th av. n. w. corner 147th st., 50x100; also 147th
st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of 10th av., 20x00.11. William
A. Cauldwell and others (executors) to Caroline C.
Bishop.
10th av. n. w. corner 147th st., 50x100; also 147th
st., 103 ft. w. of 7th av., 25x100.11. William A.
Cauldwell and others to same.
St. Nicholas av., n. w. corner 146th st., 25x125.
William A. Cauldwell and others to same.
St. Nicholas av., n. w. corner 146th st., 125x100.
Same to same.
St. Nicholas av., asme property. Caroline C. Bishop.

Considered and others executions to william A. Casidwell and others executions to william A. Casidwell and others executions. Non. Non. St. Nicholas av., saine property. Caroline U. Bishop St. Nicholas av., saine property av. Saine U. Bishop St. Nicholas av., saine property. Saine av. Caroline U. Bishop St. Nicholas av., saine U. Bishop S

SILVER CURRENCY.

WHAT IS THOUGHT OF THE CHANGE IN FINAN-CIAL CIRCLES-PAYMENTS TO BEGIN TO-

rency, in accordance with the provisions of the bill which has recently been made a law by the President's signature, has not yet commenced at the Sub-Treasury.
The first payments will be made to-day. About noon
yesterday Assistant Treasurer Hillhouse received a telto commence paying out silver. Preparations were immediately set on foot, and the following notice was

immediately set on foot, and the following posted in the afternoon on the bulletin board:

New York, April 19, 1876.

Notice is hereby given that on and after the 20th inst fractional currency of the United States will be redeemed at this office in silver, to an amount not to exceed \$100 in any one redemption. The currency nust be sorted by denominations, and put up in sums of not less than \$5 or multiples thereof.

Although the exchange has not yet commenced a good deal of speculation is already indulged in on the subject, and different views are entertained by prominent financial theorists on entertained by prominent financial theorists on an entertained by prominent financial theorists on the subject, and different views are entertained by prominent financial theorists on the financial theorists of the financial theorists on the financial theorists of the financial theorists on the financial theorists on the financial theorists of the financial theorists on the financial theo

thinge.

It is understood that several thousand dollars in fractional currency have been deposited at the SubTrasaury for some time for the purpose of being exchanged for silver when the order comes from washington.

The arrangement preventing the payment of larger amounts than \$100 in any single redemption is made, it is understood, to prevent brokers from crowling the Treasury offices to the exclusion of the public. Those desiring larger amounts exchanged will have to send to the Treasury at Washington, paying express charges on the packages of currency forwarded, and receiving back orders on the sub-treasuries at the places from which they forward the currency. Persons living at distant points where there are no sub-treasuries, if they wish to obtain silver for their paper currency, will have to send on to Washington and pay express charges both ways, so that the luxury of hauding coin will, in all probability, be defined for some time to those located in the interior of the country away from the large cities. Fractional currency forwarded for exchange should be accompanied with a letter stating the address of the sender, how the remittance in exchange is required, and if by check, the office where the check should be made payable. Application has been made by many of the banks in the city to the Treasury at Washington for silver in much larger amounts than are to be paid at the office here. After the novelty of the thing shall have passed away it is believed that exchanges will be made in smaller sums than at present, and ultimately the exchange of single places will probably be permated. The rush to-day is expected to be quite lively, and the clerk force at the Sub-Treasury is rather limited. It cannot be increased at present, however, as there is no appropriation for additional clerk hire. Fractional currency redeemed in silver under the provisions of the present law, by any of the assistant treasurers or in any of the dosignated depositories of the United States in Washington, will be forwarded to the Un

THE VICTIM OF JACK'S CREEK.

The body of the drowned man found in Jack's Creek, identification. Yesterday Dr. Burnett made a post-mortem examination, and will report the result to the mortem examination, and will report the result to the Coroner's jury this evening when the inquest will be held. The deceased was found to have been badly ruptured. The police are of the opinion that the unfortunate man, while crazy from drink, or, perbaps, from pain caused by the rupture, walked into the creek and was drowned; and thus theory is sustained by the fact that there are no marks of violence upon the body, which had evidently been in the water not more than five or six hours. Should there be no identification to-day, the body will be buried in the public groundfat Astoria.

dence, No. 73 West 44th at. Members of the Frate nity are cordially invited. By order. JOSEPH ROSEN HALL, N. G. CHARLES MEGUIN, Recording Secretary Cole.—INSPECTOR'S ASSOCIATION OF THE PORT OF NEW YORK:—The members of the Inspectors' Association of the Port of New York are respectfully requested to at tend the funeral of our late associate, William C. Colfrom the Alanson Methodist Episcopal church, Nortolist, between Broome and Grand siz, on Thursday April 20, at two o'clock P. M.

WILLIAM H. CORSA, President, Orsean M. France, Secretary.

OSCAR M. FISHERS, Secretary.

DAVIDSON.—On the 19th inst., GEORGE M. DAVIDSON,
Bergeant of police, aged 35 years, 4 months and 17 days
Notice of faneral hereafter.

Albany, Hudson and Greenville papers please copy.
DEAN.—In Brooklyn, on Sunday, April 18, Hanny
DEAN, in the Söth year of his age.

Funeral from his late residence, 122 St. Felix st.,
Brooklyn, on Thursday, April 20, at two P. M.
DESIER.—On Wednesday, April 19, ANNIS E., daughter
of Joseph and Jane Desize, aged 4 years, 9 months and
9 days.

Funeral from his late residence, 986 6th av., Thursday, at one P. M. Romains will be taken to Petersburg, Va.

MOORE.—Association of Exempt Firement:—The members of the above association are hereby notified to meet at the Church of the Holy Trinity, Zist st., near 6th av., this (Thursday) afternoon, at one o'clock, for the purpose of paying the last tribute of respect to our worthy member, Bolits Moore.

Fig. Nacis Hagadorn, F. S.

Morrone.—At Middletown, N. J., on Tuesday, April 15, 1876, Annie E. Spadde, wife of Albert Morford, in the Sid year of her age.

The relatives and friends of the family are invited to attend the funeral, on Friday, April 21, at sleven o'clock A. M. Carriagos will be in waiting at Middletown depot to convey friends to the church. Train leaves foot of Liberty st. at 8:15 A. M.

Ouders,—On the 19th mat., Henry W. Oeden, aged 35 years and 4 months.

The relatives and friends of the family are invited to attend the funeral, from his late residence, No. 428 West 18th st., on Saturday, at the o'clock. His remains will be taken to Tarrytown for interment.

Feekskill papers please copy.

Parkix.—In Brooklyn, on Wednesday, April 19, of diphtheria, Lillian Winther, aged 6 years, and Edward Princy, aged 3 years, children of Henry H. and Cora M. Parkin.

Funeral service at Grace church, on the Heights, Friday, three o'clock.

Syracuse papers please copy.

Peritt.—On April 17, Moses V.Pertir, aged 68.

Relatives and friends are invited to attend the funeral, at two o'clock P. M., on Thursday, from the First Presbyterian church, Central Morrisanis.

FOR.—in Brooklyn, on Tuesday, April 18, Janz D., wife of Gideon Pope.

Relatives and friends of the family, also the friends of her son, John B. Pope, are invited to attend the funeral, from her late residence, No. 174 Delancey st. Remains taken to Greenwood on Saturday.

Romans.—in New Brunswick, N. J., on Tuesday, April 19, of diphtheria, George Jostick, son of Edward W. and Louisa Latham Roboms, in the 4th year of his age.

W. and Louise Latinan robotic, in the construction age.

Roosevelt — In Paris, France, February 14, Mrs. Connecta Roosevelt and daughter of the late ex-Governor Van Noss, of Vermont.

Relatives and friends are invited to attend the funeral, at Grace church, on Saturday morning, the 22d Inst., at ten o'clock, without further notice.

Vermont papers please copy.

Salmon.—On Monday, 17th Inst., Edward Jepper of hig age.